

Communication

Rhodium-Catalyzed Carbonylation of Spiropentanes

Takanori Matsuda, Tomoya Tsuboi, and Masahiro Murakami

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129 (42), 12596-12597• DOI: 10.1021/ja0732779 • Publication Date (Web): 03 October 2007

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on February 14, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 3 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Published on Web 10/03/2007

Rhodium-Catalyzed Carbonylation of Spiropentanes

Takanori Matsuda, Tomoya Tsuboi, and Masahiro Murakami*

Department of Synthetic Chemistry and Biological Chemistry, Kyoto University, Katsura, Kyoto 615-8510, Japan

Received May 8, 2007; E-mail: murakami@sbchem.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Spiropentanes,¹ the smallest spirocyclic hydrocarbons, possess unique rigid and strained structures and have been the subject of extensive investigation in terms of synthesis,² biological activity,³ and spectroscopy.⁴ However, the reactivities of spiropentanes⁵ toward transition metals, in particular, are yet to be explored. Hydrogenation of spiropentane occurs in the presence of PtO₂ to produce a mixture of three hydrogenated hydrocarbons.⁶ In the cobalt-promoted carbonylation reactions of vinyl- and methylenespiropentanes, one cyclopropane ring was cleaved with the other cyclopropane ring remaining unscathed.⁷ Our studies on the C–C bond activation of cyclobutanones⁸ led us to target spiropentane substrates for investigation. In this communication, we describe a catalytic carbonylation reaction of spiropentanes forming cyclopentenones through two successive carbon–carbon bond cleavage processes.^{8b}

When a solution of 1-(benzyloxymethyl)-1-methylspiropentane (**1a**) in *p*-xylene was heated at 130 °C for 2.5 h under an argon atmosphere in the presence of a rhodium(I) catalyst generated in situ from [RhCl(cod)]₂ (5 mol %, 10 mol % Rh) and DPPP (10 mol %),⁹ no reaction occurred, and **1a** was largely recovered. In contrast, when the same reaction was carried out under an atmosphere of carbon monoxide, the carbonylation of **1a** took place to afford cyclopentenone **2a** in 84% yield (eq 1).^{10,11}



The formation of cyclopentenone **2a** from spiropentane **1a** is explained by assuming the pathway shown in Scheme 1. Initially, the C4–C5 bond of spiropentane **1a** oxidatively adds to rhodium-(I) to form spirocyclic rhodacyclobutane **3**. Insertion of carbon monoxide generates rhodacyclopentanone **4**.¹² Then, the methylene carbon (C2) selectively migrates onto rhodium through a β -carbon elimination¹³ to convert the spirocyclic skeleton into six-membered rhodacyclopentanone **6**, which isomerizes to the enone **2a** under the reaction conditions.

Various disubstituted spiropentanes underwent the carbonylation reaction under similar conditions (Table 1). 1,1-Disubstituted spiropentanes 1b-e afforded the corresponding 4,4-disubstituted 3-methylcyclopent-2-enones 2b-e in good yields (entries 1-5). Paraformaldehyde could be used as a carbonyl source instead of gaseous carbon monoxide (entry 2).¹⁵ Cyclopropylspiropentane **1e** reacts only at the spiropentane moiety, leaving the pendant cyclopropyl group untouched, demonstrating the inertness of the isolated cyclopropane ring under the reaction conditions (entry 5). A pair of *cis/trans* isomers of 1,2-disubstituted spiropentanes (**1f** and **1g**) was subjected to the carbonylation to test the stereospecificity of the reaction. The secondary carbons migrated onto rhodium with retention of the stereochemistry to furnish the corresponding bicyclic ketones **2f** and **2g**, respectively (entries 6 and 7).

Scheme 1. Mechanism of Spiropentane Carbonylation



Table 1. Rhodium-Catalyzed Carbonylation of Spiropentanes **1b**-**g**^{*a*}



 a 5 mol % of [RhCl(cod)]₂, 10 mol % of DPPP, CO (1 atm), *p*-xylene (0.05 M), 130 °C, 2.5 h, unless otherwise noted. b Isolated yield by preparative TLC. c **1b** and (HCHO)_n (10 equiv) were reacted for 6 h. d 5 h.

Scheme 2. Carbonylation of Trisubstituted Spiropentane 1h^a



^a Conditions: 5 mol % of [RhCl(cod)]₂, 10 mol % of DPPP, *p*-xylene, 130 °C, CO (1 atm), 2.5 h.

The carbonylation reaction of spiropentanes with other substitution patterns was also examined. The reaction of trisubstituted spiropentane **1h** was complicated, yielding cyclopentenone **2h** (37%) and diene **7** (18%) as the only identifiable products (Scheme 2). The following pathway accounts for the formation of **2h** and **7**. Scheme 3. Carbonylation of Monosubstituted Spiropentane 1i and Reaction of Spirocyclic Cyclobutanone $\mathbf{8}^a$



^a Conditions: 5 mol % of [RhCl(cod)]₂, 10 mol % of DPPP, *p*-xylene, 130 °C, CO (1 atm), 2.5 h for **1h** and 20 h for **8**.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of (\pm) - β -Cuparenone



Rhodacyclobutane **3h** is formed from **1h**, as with the case of **1a**. From this species, two six-membered rhodacycles **5h** and **5'h** result depending on which carbon C1 or C2 migrates. Migration of the secondary carbon (C2) predominates over migration of the tertiary carbon (C1), thus reductive elimination from **5h** gives **2h**. With the six-membered rhodacycle **5'h**, reductive elimination forming a quaternary carbon failed to occur because of the steric reasons. Instead, this species undergoes β -hydride elimination prior to reductive elimination to afford **7**.

When monosubstituted spiropentane **1i** was subjected to the reaction conditions, an isomeric mixture of cyclopentenones **2i** (55%) and **2'i** (21%) was obtained (Scheme 3). Product **2i** resulting from migration of the primary carbon (C2) predominated over the product **2'i** resulting from migration of the secondary carbon (C1) (**2i**:**2'i** = 72:28). A control experiment was performed to obtain some mechanistic information. Spirocyclic cyclobutanone **8** isomerized in the presence of the rhodium catalyst to afford a mixture of **2i** and **2'i** (73:27), as previously reported.^{8b} This result suggests the possibility of a mechanistic similarity between the reaction of **8** and the carbonylation of **1i**.

For comparison, cyclopropane 9^{16} and spiro[3.2]hexane 10 were subjected to similar reaction conditions. Unlike the case of spiropentanes 1, no reaction occurred, and after 2.5 h, 9 and 10 remained intact. The contrasting results and the result of the reaction of 1e indicate that the reactivity toward carbonylation under these conditions is peculiar to the highly strained structure of 1.



The utility of this transformation was demonstrated in a short synthesis of a sesquiterpene, (\pm) - β -cuparenone (12) (Scheme 4).¹⁷ Spiropentane 1j, required for the preparation of cyclopentenone 2j,

was synthesized from commercially available methyl 4-methylphenyl ketone **11** in three steps: Wittig olefination of **11** with cyclopropylidenephosphorane (82%), cyclopropanation of methylenecyclopropane **12** with dibromocarbene (54%), and debromination of **13** with zinc (56%). Carbonylation of **1j** under the standard conditions gave cyclopentenone **2j** (82%). Finally, the nickelcatalyzed conjugate addition of dimethylzinc^{17b} furnished **14** in 75% yield.

In summary, we have developed a rhodium-catalyzed carbonylation reaction of spiropentanes involving two different types of carbon-carbon bond cleavage processes. The reaction allows for the synthesis of a series of 3-methylcyclopent-2-enones, one of which was utilized as an intermediate in the concise synthesis of (\pm) - β -cuparenone.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (No. 18037035) and for Scientific Research (A) (No. 19205013) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. We thank Dr. S. Kadowaki for assistance in the synthesis of the spiropentanes.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details and selected spectral data for new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- For reviews, see: (a) de Meijere, A.; Kozhushkov, S. I. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 93. (b) de Meijere, A.; Kozhushkov, S. I.; Schill, H. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 4926.
- (2) (a) Murray, M. J.; Stevenson, E. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1944, 66, 314. (b) Applequist, D. E.; Fanta, G. F.; Henrikson, B. W. J. Org. Chem. 1958, 23, 1715. (c) Charette, A. B.; Jolicoeur, E.; Bydlinski, G. A. S. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3293.
- (3) (a) Li, D.; Zhou, H.-q.; Dakoji, S.; Shin, I.; Oh, E.; Liu, H.-w. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, *120*, 2008. (b) Guan, H.-P.; Ksebati, M. B.; Cheng, Y.-C.; Drach, J. C.; Kern, E. R.; Zemlicka, J. J. Org. Chem. **2000**, *65*, 1280. (c) Pellicciari, R.; Marinozzi, M.; Camaioni, E.; del Carmen Nùnez, M.; Costantino, G.; Gasparini, F.; Giorgi, G.; Macchiarulo, A.; Subramanian, N. J. Org. Chem. **2002**, *67*, 5497.
- manian, N. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5497.
 (4) (a) Cleveland, F. F.; Murray, M. J.; Gallaway, W. S. J. Chem. Phys. 1947, 15, 742. (b) Bechtold, W.; Goldstein, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4989. (c) Gleiter, R.; Krennrich, G.; Brinker, U. H. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 2899.
- (5) (a) Gajewski, J. J.; Burka, L. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 8865. (b) Wiberg, K. B.; Snoonian, J. R. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 1390. (c) Maercker, A.; Oeffner, K. S.; Girreser, U. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 8245.
 (6) Slabey, V. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1947, 69, 475.
- (6) Slabey, V. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **194**7, 69, 475. (7) (a) Kurahashi, T.; de Meijere, A. Synlett **2005**, 2619. (b) Kurahashi, T.;
- (1) (a) Kuranashi, 1., de Mergere, A. Synten 2003, 2019. (b) Kuranashi, 1., de Merjere, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7881.
 (a) Muraleomi, M. Amii, H., Shiote, K., Ito, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1006
- (8) (a) Murakami, M.; Amii, H.; Shigeto, K.; Ito, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 8285. (b) Murakami, M.; Takahashi, K.; Amii, H.; Ito, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 9307. (c) Matsuda, T.; Shigeno, M.; Murakami, M. Chem. Lett. 2006, 35, 288 and references therein.
- (9) Abbreviations: cod = cycloocta-1,5-diene; DPPP = 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane.
- (10) Results with other conditions: 10 mol % of RhCl(PPh₃)₃ (70%); 2.5 mol % of [RhCl(cod)]₂ and 5 mol % of DPPP (70%); 10 mol % of [Rh(cod)₂]-BF₄ and 10 mol % of DPPP (0%).
- (11) The reaction under 10 atm of CO was less productive (29% of 2a and 54% recovery of 1a).
- (12) Related complexes have been generated from cyclobutanones⁸ and cyclopropanes. For the latter examples, see: (a) Roundhill, D. M.; Lawson, D. N.; Wilkinson, G. J. Chem. Soc. A 1968, 845. (b) McQuillin, F. J.; Powell, K. C. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1972, 2129.
 (13) (a) Nishimura, T.; Uemura, S. Synlett 2004, 201. (b) Satoh, T.; Miura,
- (13) (a) Nishimura, T.; Uemura, S. Synlett 2004, 201. (b) Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 14, 1. (c) Murakami, M.; Makino, M.; Ashida, S.; Matsuda, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2006, 79, 1315.
- (14) The possibility of occurrence of β -carbon elimination from **3** prior to CO insertion cannot be ruled out.
- (15) (a) Morimoto, T.; Kakiuchi, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5580.
 (b) Shibata, T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 2328.
- (16) Bing opening of cyclopropylmethyl ethers in the presence of Wilkinson's catalyst was reported. Bart, S. C.; Chirik, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 886.
- (17) (a) Lansbury, P.; Hilfiker, F. R. *Chem. Commun.* **1969**, *619*. (b) Greene, A. E.; Charbonnier, F.; Luche, M.-J.; Moyano, A. J. Org. Chem. **1984**, *49*, 931. (c) Srikrishna, A.; Sundarababu, G. *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 481. (d) Ray, D.; Ray, J. K. Org. Lett. **2007**, *9*, 191 and references therein.

JA0732779